

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,475	06/23/2003	Gi-Jung Kim	5649-921	7249
20792 7:	590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428			IVEY, ELIZABETH D	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1775	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
055 - 4-45 - 0	10/601,475	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth Ivey	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	July 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 19</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2006</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As indicated in the specification on page 5, ϕ_1 appears to be a critical dimension limited to between about 30 and about 85 degrees. Claim 10 does not include this limitation but the applicant does not appear to be enabled for any angle beyond these dimensions. Therefore, ϕ_1 between about 30 and about 85 degrees should be included in all independent claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-9, 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 6-9, 10 and 18, t, although defined in claim 1 is not defined in claims 6, 10 or 18. Additionally, where possible, claims are to be complete in themselves. Incorporation

by reference to a specific figure or table "is permitted only in exceptional circumstances where there is no practical way to define the invention in words and where it is more concise to incorporate by reference than duplicating a drawing or table into the claim. Incorporation by reference is a necessity doctrine, not for applicant's convenience." Ex parte Fressola, 27 USPQ2d 1608, 1609 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993) MPEP 2173.05(s). Reference to the figure does not sufficiently describe the claimed invention and is not the only way to describe the invention. Clearly, applicant has demonstrated that the invention can be described in words, particularly since formulations are used in claim 1 to describe the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 and 19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Examiner acknowledges applicant's amendment to drawings and cancellation of claims 11-17 and 20.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-9, 10 and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Ivey whose telephone number is (571) 272-8432. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00- 4:30 M-Th and 7:00-3:30 alt. Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDIvev

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER